# Author: Ian Smith (University of Cambridge, UK)

$$H_3^+ + N(^4S^\circ) \rightarrow NH_2^+ + H$$
 (1)

$$H_3^+ + N(^4S^\circ) \rightarrow NH^+ + H_2$$
 (2)

Thermodynamic Data

$$\Delta H^{0}_{298}(1) = -98 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

$$\Delta H^{o}_{298}(2) = +82 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

Sources of thermodynamic data: see ref. 1, 3

#### **Rate Coefficient Data**

$k/\text{cm}^3$ molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	T/K	Reference	Comments
Rate Coefficient Measurements $(4.5 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-10}$	295 ± 5	Scott <i>et al.</i> , 1997 <sup>2</sup>	(a)
$< 5 \times 10^{-11}$	295 ± 5	Milligan et al., 2000	(b)
too small to estimate	all temperatures	Bettens and Collins	(c)
Reviews and Evaluations			
this reaction is not included		UMIST database	
$1 \times 10^{-17}$ (i.e., effectively zero)		OSU website	

### **Comments**

- (a) Experiments using a selected ion flow tube. Because  $N_2$  reacts rapidly with  $H_3^+$  and the fraction of N atoms produced from  $N_2$  by microwave discharge is small, a relative rate measurement is adopted by measuring the yields of  $NH_4^+$  and  $N_2H^+$ .
- (b) Measurements made by the same group as in (a) with an improved flowing afterglow/selected ion flow tube apparatus. No evidence was found for the formation of NH<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> or NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> and the upper limit for the rate coefficient was derived. A probable source of error in the earlier experiments was given.
- (c) A detailed theoretical study using an *ab initio* potential energy surface and quasiclassical trajectories. NO trajectories leading to  $NH_2^+$  + H were found. The possibility of a non-adiabatic mechanism was discussed and rejected.

### **Preferred Values**

This reaction is too slow to influence chemistry in cold ISCs and can be omitted from models.

## Reliability

## Comments on Preferred Values

Channel (2) is strongly endothermic. Theory (both Bettens and Collins and earlier work by Herbst *et al.*<sup>4</sup>) were unable to find a low energy path for channel (1). It seems safe to assume that this reaction will not occur in interstellar clouds. Other routes for the formation of NH<sub>3</sub> must exist.

#### References

(29.03.11)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> G. B. I. Scott, D. A. Fairley, C. G. Freeman and M. J. McEwan, Chem. Phys. Lett. **269**, 88 (1997).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> D. B. Milligan, D. A. Fairley and M. J. McEwan, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., **202**, 351 (2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> R. P. A. Bettens and M. A. Collins, J. Chem. Phys., **109**, 9728 (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> E. Herbst, D. J. DeFrees and A. D. Maclean, Astrophys. J. **321**, 898 (1987).